

ख

ग

आयुक्त का कार्यालय, (अपीलस)

Office of the Commissioner,

केंद्रीय जीएसटी, अहमदाबाद आयुक्तालय Central GST, Appeal Commissionerate- Ahmedabad जीएसटी भवन, राजस्व मार्ग, अम्बावाडी अहमदाबाद ३८००१५.

CGST Bhavan, Revenue Marg, Ambawadi, Ahmedabad 380015

: 079-26305065 टेलेफैक्स: 079 - 26305136

फाइल संख्या : File No : V2(ST)80 /North/Appeals/2018-19 क

अपील आदेश संख्या : Order-In-Appeal No..AHM-EXCUS-002-APP-50-18-19

दिनॉंक Date : 27-Aug-18 जारी करने की तारीख Date of Issue

श्री उमा शंकर, आयुक्त (अपील) द्वारा पारित

Passed by **Shri Uma Shanker** Commissioner (Appeals)

Order-in-Original No GST-Arising out 06/REFUND/42/AC/KMM/Lodestone/2017-18 Dated 28-Mar-18 Issued by

Assistant Commissioner, Central GST, Div-VI, Ahmedabad North.

अपीलकर्ता का नाम एवं पता EI Name & Address of The Appellants

M/s Lodestone Software Services **Pritvate Limited**

इस अपील आदेश से असंतुष्ट कोई भी व्यक्ति उचित प्राधिकारी को अपील निम्नलिखित प्रकार से कर

Any person aggrieved by this Order-in-Appeal may file an appeal to the appropriate authority in the following way:-

सीमा शुल्क, उत्पाद शुल्क एवं सेवाकर अपीलीय न्यायाधिकरण को अपील:-Appeal To Customs Central Excise And Service Tax Appellate Tribunal :-

वित्तीय अधिनियम, 1994 की धारा 86 के अंतर्गत अपील को निम्न के पास की जा सकती:— Under Section 86 of the Finance Act 1994 an appeal lies to :-

पश्चिम क्षेत्रीय पीठ सीमा शुल्क, उत्पाद शुल्क एवं सेवाकर अपीलीय न्यायाधिकरण ओ. 20, न्यू मैन्टल हास्पिटल कम्पाउण्ड, मेधाणी नगर, अहमदाबाद-380016

The West Regional Bench of Customs, Excise, Service Tax Appellate Tribunal (CESTAT) at O-20, New Mental Hospital Compound, Meghani Nagar, Ahmedabad – 380 016.

- अपीलीय न्यायाधिकरण को वित्तीय अधिनियम, 1994 की धारा 86 (1) के अंतर्गत अपील सेवाकर नियमावली, 1994 के नियम 9 (1) के अंतर्गत निर्धारित फार्म एस.टी— 5 में चार प्रतियों में की जा सकेगी एवं उसके साथ जिस आदेश के विरुद्ध अपील की गई हो उसकी भेजी जानी चाहिए (उनमें से एक प्रमाणित प्रति होगी) और साथ में जिस स्थान में न्यायाधिकरण का न्यायपीट स्थित है, वहाँ के नामित सार्वजनिक क्षेत्र बैंक के न्यायपीठ के सहायक रजिस्ट्रार के नाम से रेखांकित बैंक ड्राफ्ट के रूप में जहाँ सेवाकर की मांग, ब्याज की मांग ओर लगाया गया जुर्माना रूपए 5 लाख या उससे कम है वहां रूपए 1000 / - फीस भेजनी होगी। जहाँ सेवाकर की मांग, ब्याज की मांग ओर लगाया गया जुर्माना रूपए 5 लाख या 50 लाख तक हो तो रूपए 5000/- फीस भेजनी होगी। जहाँ सेवाकर की मांग, ब्याज की मांग ओर लगाया गया जुर्माना रूपए 50 लाख या उससे ज्यादा है वहां रूपए 10000 / - फीस भेजनी होगी।
- The appeal under sub section (1) of Section 86 of the Finance Act 1994 to the Appellate Tribunal Shall be filed in quadruplicate in Form S.T.5 as prescribed under Rule 9(1) of the ed by a copy of the order appealed Service Tax Rules 1994 and Shall be accompany against (one of which shall be certified copy) and should be accompanied by a fees of RSNTRAL 1000/- where the amount of service tax & interest demanded & penalty levied of Rs. 5/48/5 or. less, Rs.5000/- where the amount of service tax & interest demanded & penalty levied is is more than five lakhs but not exceeding Rs. Fifty Lakhs, Rs.10,000/- where the amount of

service tax & interest demanded & penalty levied is more than fifty Lakhs rupees, in the form of crossed bank draft in favour of the Assistant Registrar of the bench of nominated Public Sector Bank of the place where the bench of Tribunal is situated.

(iii) वित्तीय अधिनियम,1994 की धारा 86 की उप—धाराओं एवं (2ए) के अंतर्गत अपील सेवाकर नियमावली, 1994 के नियम 9 (2ए) के अंतर्गत निर्धारित फार्म एस.टी.-7 में की जा सकेगी एवं उसके साथ आयुक्त,, केन्द्रीय उत्पाद शुल्क (अपील) के आदेश की प्रतियाँ (OIA)(उसमें से प्रमाणित प्रति होगी) और अपर आयुक्त, सहायक / उप आयुक्त अथवा अधिकि केन्द्रीय उत्पाद शुल्क, अपीलीय न्यायाधिकरण को आवेदन करने के निदेश देते हुए

आदेश (OIO) की प्रति भेजनी होगी।

- (iii) The appeal under sub section (2A) of the section 86 the Finance Act 1994, shall be filed in Form ST-7 as prescribed under Rule 9 (2A) of the Service Tax Rules, 1994 and shall be accompanied by a copy of order of Commissioner Central Excise (Appeals)(OIA)(one of which shall be a certified copy) and copy of the order passed by the Addl. / Joint or Dy. /Asstt. Commissioner or Superintendent of Central Excise & Service Tax (OIO) to apply to the Appellate Tribunal.
- 2. यथासंशोधित न्यायालय शुल्क अधिनियम, 1975 की शर्तो पर अनुसूची—1 के अंतर्गत निर्धारित किए अनुसार मूल आदेश एवं स्थगन प्राधिकारी के आदेश की प्रति पर रू 6.50/— पैसे का न्यायालय शुल्क टिकट लगा होना चाहिए।
- 2. One copy of application or O.I.O. as the case may be, and the order of the adjudication authority shall bear a court fee stamp of Rs.6.50 paise as prescribed under Schedule-I in terms of the Court Fee Act,1975, as amended.
- 3. सीमा शुल्क, उत्पाद शुल्क एवं सेवाकर अपीलीय न्यायाधिकरण (कार्यविधि) नियमावली, 1982 में चर्चित एवं अन्य संबंधित मामलों को सम्मिलित करने वाले नियमों की ओर भी ध्यान आकर्षित किया जाता है।
- Attention is also invited to the rules covering these and other related matters contained in the Customs, Excise and Service Appellate Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1982.
- 4. सीमा शुल्क, केन्द्रीय उत्पाद शुल्क एवं सेवाकर अपीलीय प्राधिकरण (सीस्तेत) के प्रति अपीलों के मामलों में केन्द्रीय उत्पाद शुल्क अधिनियम, १९४४ की धारा ३७फ के अंतर्गत वित्तीय(संख्या-२) अधिनियम २०१४(२०१४ की संख्या २७) दिनांक: ०६.०८.२०१४ जो की वित्तीय अधिनियम, १९९४ की धारा ८३ के अंतर्गत सेवाकर को भी लागू की गई है, द्वारा निश्चित की गई पूर्व-राशि जमा करना अनिवार्य है, बशर्ते कि इस धारा के अंतर्गत जमा की जाने वाली अपेक्षित देय राशि दस करोड़ रूपए से अधिक न हो

केन्द्रीय उत्पाद शुल्क एवं सेवाकर के अंतर्गत " माँग किए गए शुल्क " में निम्न शामिल है —

- (i) धारा 11 डी के अंतर्गत निर्धारित रकम
- (ii) सेनवैट जमा की ली गई गलत राशि
- (iii) सेनवैट जमा नियमावली के नियम 6 के अंतर्गत देय रकम
- ⇒ आगे बशर्ते यह कि इस धारा के प्रावधान वित्तीय (सं. 2) अधिनियम, 2014 के आरम्भ से पूर्व किसी अपीलीय प्राधिकारी के समक्ष विचाराधीन स्थगन अर्ज़ी एवं अपील को लागू नहीं होगे।
- 4. For an appeal to be filed before the CESTAT, it is mandatory to pre-deposit an amount specified under the Finance (No. 2) Act, 2014 (No. 25 of 2014) dated 06.08.2014, under section 35F of the Central Excise Act, 1944 which is also made applicable to Service Tax under section 83 of the Finance Act, 1994 provided the amount of pre-deposit payable would be subject to ceiling of Rs. Ten Crores.

Under Central Excise and Service Tax, "Duty demanded" shall include:

(i) amount determined under Section 11 D;

(ii) amount of erroneous Cenvat Credit taken;

- (iii) amount payable under Rule 6 of the Cenvat Credit Rules.
- Provided further that the provisions of this Section shall not apply to the stay application and appeals pending before any appellate authority prior to the commencement of the Finance (No.2) Act, 2014.
- 4(1) इस संदर्भ में, इस आदेश के प्रति अपील प्राधिकरण के समक्ष जहाँ शुल्क अथवा शुल्क या दण्ड विवादित हो तो माँग किए गए शुल्क के 10% भुगतान पर और जहाँ केवल दण्ड विवादित हो तब दण्ड के 10% भुगतान पर की जा सकती है।
- 4(1) In view of above, an appeal against this order shall lie before the Tribunal on payment of 10% of the duty demanded where duty or duty and penalty are in dispute, or penalty, where penalty alone is in dispute.

1

ORDER-IN-APPEAL

M/s. Lodestone Sofrware Services Pvt. Ltd., 2nd Floor , Opp.Grand Bhagwati Nr Avalon Hotel, Off. S G Highway,Bodakdev, Ahmedabad (henceforth, "appellant") has filed the present appeal against the Order-in-original No. GST-06/Refund/42/AC/KMM/Lodestone//2017-18 dated 28.03.2018 (henceforth, "impugned order") issued by the Assistant Commissioner, CGST,Division-VI, Ahmedabad-North.(henceforth, "adjudicating authority").

- 2. The facts of the case, in brief, are that the appellant, a service tax registrant, filed a refund claim dated 15.11.2017 for Rs.4,47,592/- of unutilized CENVAT credit pertaining to the from April to June 2017 under Rule 5 of CENVAT Credit Rules,2004. Said refund claim was rejected under impugned order mainly on the ground viz. address available on the invoices of the input service providers were not tallied with the same shown in ST-3 returns, export realization amount not tallied with FIRC, refund claimed was in excess of CENVAT credit available at the end of the quarter, invoices of input services were not as prescribed under rule 4A of Service Tax Rules 1994 etc.,
- 3. Being aggrieved with the impugned order, the appellant preferred this appeal contesting inter alia, the grounds; that the impugned order is contrary to the facts on record, unjust, erroneous; that no show cause notice as well as opportunity to heard in person was granted to the appellant and hence principles of natural justice have not been followed. They have also requested for condonation of delay in filing the appeal.
- 4. In the Personal hearing held on 23.08.2018 Shri Deepak Rajani, C.A. reiterated the grounds of appeal and requested for condonation of delay.
- 5. I have carefully gone through the appeal wherein refund of unutilized Cenvat credit on account of export of output services has been denied. Condonation of delay in filing the appeal is acceptable. The appeal has been preferred only on the ground that no show cause notices as well as opportunity of personal hearing was granted to the appeal and before passing the order rejecting the refund claim and hence principles.

of natural justice have been violated. I find that the claim was rejected without awarding the appellants the opportunity of being heard. As regards the issue that the appellants were not given any opportunity to present their case personally as per the principle of natural justice; I consider that the adjudication proceedings shall be conducted by observing principles of natural justice. Order passed in violation of the principles of natural justice is liable to be set aside by Appellate Authority. Natural justice is the essence of fair adjudication, deeply rooted in tradition and conscience, to be ranked as fundamental. The purpose of following the principles of natural justice is the prevention of miscarriage of justice. The first and foremost principle is what is commonly known as audi alteram partem rule. It says that no one should be condemned unheard. The Show Cause Notice is the first limb of this principle. In the absence of a notice of the kind and such reasonable opportunity, the order passed becomes wholly vitiated. Thus, it is but essential that a party should be put on notice of the case before any adverse order is passed against him. This is one of the most important principles of natural justice. The Hon'ble Supreme Court has further elaborated the legal position in the case of Siemens Engineering and Manufacturing Co. of India Ltd. v. Union of India and Anr. [AIR 1976 SC 1785], as under: -

"If courts of law are to be replaced by administrative authorities and tribunals, as indeed, in some kinds of cases, with the proliferation of Administrative Law, they may have to be so replaced, it is essential that administrative authorities and tribunals should accord fair and proper hearing to the persons sought to be affected by their orders and give sufficiently clear and explicit reasons in support of the orders made by them. Then alone administrative authorities and tribunals exercising quasi-judicial function will be able to justify their existence and carry credibility with the people by inspiring confidence in the adjudicatory process. The rule requiring reasons to be given in support of an order is, like the principle of audi alteram partem, a basic principle of natural justice which must inform every quasi-judicial process and this rule must be observed in its proper spirit and mere pretence of compliance with it would not satisfy the requirement of law."

6. The adjudicating authority should, therefore, bear in mind that no material should be relied in the adjudication order to support a finding against the interests of the party unless the party has been given an opportunity to rebut that material. Therefore, without going into ment in

remand the case back to the adjudicating authority to pass a fresh order ensuring principle of natural justice. The appeal is accordingly is allowed.

7. अपीलकर्ता द्वारा दर्ज की गई अपील का निपटारा उपरोक्त तरीके से किया जाता है।

The appeal filed by the appellant stands disposed of in above terms.

(उमा शंकर)

केन्द्रीय कर आयुक्त (अपील्स)

Date:

<u>Attested</u>

(D.A.Parsar)
Superintendent
Central Tax (Appeals)
Ahmedabad

By R.P.A.D.

To

M/s. Vodafone Mobile Services Limited, Vodafone House,Prahladnagar, Off S G Highway, Ahmedabad .

Copy to:

- 1.The Chief Commissioner of Central Tax, Ahmedabad Zone.
- 2. The Commissioner of Central Tax, Ahmedabad North.
- 3. The Additional Commissioner, Central Tax (System), Ahmedabad North.
- 1. The Asstt./Deputy Commissioner, CGST Division-VI, Ahmedabad North.
- Guard File.
- 6. P.A.

